



THE ROOSTER

Roos Village Newsletter

No. 10

May 1986

PARISH COUNCIL MATTERS

Councillor K.G. Grant chaired the meeting of 14th April. Others present were Councillors Benson, Cheeseman, Cook, Priest, Quarmby and Winter. An apology for absence was received from Councillor J.R. Clark.

The School Clock

It was agreed that Mr. R.A. Crook should be invited to replace the clock in the old school building as he had offered to do. However, the clock had originally been provided by public subscription and should remain the property of the village: it should not pass to whoever - now or in the future - owned the building. It was agreed that the Parish Council solicitors should draw up a document embodying this understanding. Some Councillors thought limited help could be offered to help pay for repairs to the clock but no decision was taken.

The Village Appraisal

The Chief Executive of Holderness Borough Council, Mr. D.B. Law, had written to say that there was no legal obstacle to prevent the Parish Council from holding a grant for the Appraisal Group. He commented, "I believe that the Parish Council would wish to help the Group." The Parish Council would need to receive the money as a gift and could not act as the Group's bankers. Expenditure would be limited to the total sum of the gift. The Chairman thought that the Appraisal Group were capable of banking their own money - and could then benefit from the interest. This view appeared to be shared by other Councillors.

Roos Beck and a Window

Mr. R. Taylor, Director of Development for the Borough Council, had written about Roos Beck. The survey had been completed and was being studied to consider necessary improvements. As to the query put to him in February about responsibility for clearing the Beck, Mr. Taylor repeated earlier advice: riparian owners were responsible for the maintenance of water courses. It was true that the former Rural District Council had cleaned the Beck until the coming of the sewerage scheme. Now, however, in the absence of a Land Drainage Board in the area, the Borough Council had permissive powers to require works to be carried out or carry out works itself if it so wished.

Another letter from the Development Department reported that the Borough Council had refused permission for retention of the bow window at The Folly, Main Street (See 'The Rooster', March issue). The reason for the refusal was that the window was considered a hazard to users of the public footway. The letter concluded, "The next stage will be for the Council to consider serving an enforcement notice requiring its removal."

Cost of Street Lighting Design

A letter from Humberside Technical Services explained that, in future, design work for new street lighting would be charged for - since 1974, design services had been free. Estimated charges would be 5% of the cost of installation, possibly more if the work was complex. If it so wished, a Council could employ a private architect/consultant instead.

Bus Services in the Countryside

Another County Council letter referred to changes in local bus services as a result of the Transport Act. 'Deregulation Day' was 26th October 1986, though some changes might occur before then - for example, before the start of the school term in September.

Parishes were urged to nominate a local 'contact' at once. A person acting as a contact could join with others in nearby villages to form a 'contact group'. Such groups could collaborate to identify local needs and present them in consultations with the County's Passenger Transport Section. Reference was also made to financial help available for persons wishing to provide public transport and to a leaflet about the implications of the Transport Act that was being distributed to households in Humberside.

The Chairman observed that, as far as he knew, no such leaflets had yet been delivered in Roos. He recommended minibuses services as the best solution to local needs. No person was nominated as a 'contact'.

Nuclear Waste Disposal

Mr. R. Hallas, Project Manager of the Humberside County Council Campaign Against Nuclear Dumping, had written announcing that headquarters had been set up in County Hall. Addressing the public generally, not just local Councils, Mr. Hallas said that he, or a member of his team, would willingly come to speak about South Killingholme and the implications if it were chosen as a dumping site.

Road Safety

Because Holderness Borough had its own Road Safety Group, it was decided not to accept an invitation to nominate a Councillor to serve on the Withernsea Road Safety Group. The Chairman said that any Councillor was free, if he wished, to join the Withernsea Group as a private individual.

Children's Play Equipment

Mrs. Beatrice Quinn had written on behalf of the Playing Field Committee to ask the Parish Council to apply, on the Committee's behalf, for a grant towards play equipment. The application deadline was 20th June. The Council agreed to help.

Yorkshire Water Authority

Nominations were invited for election to the Consultative Committee. Those elected would serve for three years.

Accounts

Following availability for public scrutiny for fifteen working days from 16th May, the Parish Council Accounts would be audited on 9th June.

The Treasurer, Councillor M. Cheeseman, referred to the grant to help renovate the Memorial Institute. It was confirmed that actual cash would be available to the Institute Committee after the Council precept in June.

Total payments of £12 was approved for hire of the Memorial Institute for three previous meetings. Payment of £131.00 to the Parish Clerk was approved: the amount, covering the six months to 31st March, included the Clerk's salary and the cost of stationery and postage.

Planning

The Borough Planning Committee had rejected Mr. Michael Hodgson's application to erect a dwelling at Eastfield Farm to the south of Pilmar Lane. Councillor Winter had supported the application at Skirlaugh. He said that the objection was to the siting of the building to the south of the road. The Parish Council were aware that land to the south of Pilmar Lane was protected from further building east of the existing bungalows. The application by Mr. John Boynton for the erection of horticultural glasshouses and polythene tunnelling at Meadowfield, Furze Road, had been approved.

The Parish Council supported several new applications as follows:

Dent's Garth. An application by Keyingham Construction Company for renewal of their temporary permission to carry on a joinery business on the site. Councillors noted that there was co-existent outline permission for erection of a bungalow there.

The Elms, Roos. An application by Mr. and Mrs. Peter Smith for the renovation and extension of an existing cottage. The Chairman explained that the building stood within the corner of the walled garden.

Roos Furze. An application by Mr. Graham Blyth to provide a two-storey extension and to replace an existing garage.

Mount Farm, Hilston. An application by Mr. Kenneth Grant for a change of use: a former coaching house to be converted to residential accommodation.

Elms Farm, Roos. Application by Mr. Michael Grant for a change of use: a former coaching house to be converted to residential accommodation. It was explained that some time ago the original building had suffered a fire and had been partly demolished; since then the surviving part had been used as a farm building. The conversion was intended to retain as much as possible of its architectural features.

(The Chairman withdrew before the last two items were discussed).

Public Session

No matters were raised by members of the public.

Councillor Winter had been irritated by two reports of the previous meeting in 'The Holderness Gazette' and 'The Rooster'. Both reports had referred to the public session and to the possibility that the Council Meeting itself might have been reconvened. Councillor Winter explained that, once closed, a Parish Council Meeting could not be reconvened. He read out from the Rules governing Parish Council procedures to demonstrate that the implied criticism of his chairmanship had been unreasonable.

ROOS PARISH COUNCIL

Next Meeting: 12th May at 7.30 p.m.

AUDIT OF ACCOUNTS

Preceding the Annual Audit on 9th June, the Parish Accounts will be available for inspection from 16th May at 1 Pinfold Cottages. Please contact the Parish Clerk, Mr. C. Woodhouse, for an appointment. (Phone 70716)

COMING EVENTS

- May**
- 3 Memorial Institute : May Fair
 - 9 Women's Institute. Memorial Institute, 7.15. Guest: Mr. Kershaw, Northern Dairies.
 - 11 Roos Football Club : Cup Final at Boothferry Park.
 - 12 Parish Council Meeting. Memorial Institute, 7.30
 - 16 Parish Accounts available for inspection (for 15 days).
 - 19 Village Appraisal : Public Meeting. Memorial Institute, 8.00. Copy deadline for 'The Rooster'.
 - 23 Darby and Joan Club : Spring Fayre.
 - Football Club : Annual Presentation Evening, Sand-le-Mere.
 - 29 Rugby Club : Ladies' Night. Sand-le-Mere.
 - 30 Rugby Club : Disco. Sand-le-Mere.
- June**
- 13 Probable date of Village Plan Exhibition. Memorial Institute. (Also Monday 16th).
 - 16 Probable date of Village Plan Exhibition; also Meeting, Memorial Institute, evening.
- July**
- 26 Horticultural Society : Annual Show, Burton Pidsea.

HORTICULTURAL SOCIETY SPRING SHOW

The Roos & District Horticultural Society held its Spring Show in the Memorial Institute on 19th April. A steady stream of visitors enjoyed the fine display of plants, cut flowers and other garden produce. As ever, there were impressive entries in the flower-arranging classes and the children's entries showed both ambition and flair.

Special prizes were awarded as follows:

Cut Flowers: Mr. G. Fussey, Hollym (Six Yellow Daffodils, trumpet).

Plants and Bulbs: Mrs. F. Maynard, Withernsea (Cyclamen).

Floral Art: Mrs. S. Winter, Hedon ('A Spring Quotation').

Fruit and Vegetables: Mr. C.H. Burn, Roos (Three Dressed Onions).

Six Brown Eggs: Mr. E. Kirkwood, Rimswell



The Rector, Father Aquinas, who presented the prizes, and the Society's Chairman, Mrs. Doreen Gray.

For her flower arrangement, 'A Spring Quotation', judged the best entry in the Show, Mrs. S. Winter was awarded the Affiliated Society's Card of the Royal Horticultural Society.

Winners in the Children's Classes were:

Posy in a Saucer (6-11 years): 1. Matthew Brocklesby, 2. Emma Brocklesby.

Arrangement in An Egg Cup: 1. Lucy Clark, 2. Anne Brown.

Vase of Spring Flowers (5-8 years): 1. Sally Atkinson.

Lego Model (5-8): 1. Mark Gray.

Lego Model (9-13): 1. Emma Brocklesby, 2. Jonathan R. Grant, 3. Richard Fletcher.

Four Biscuits (9-13): 1. Emma Brocklesby, 2. Heather Dodson.

Drawing or Painting of 'Postman Pat' (5-6): 1. Maria Ulliott, 2. Sally Tuplin, 3. Victoria Kemp.

Drawing or Painting of a Television Advertisement (7-8): 1. Katie Tuplin, 2. Francesca Rannard, 3. Katy Hiscock.

(9-11): 1. Sally Atkinson, 2. Matthew Page, 3. Rodney Maltas.

The Society's Secretary, Mrs. Jennifer Grant, commented later that at one time the poor weather had threatened to spoil the Spring Show but it had proved to be a reassuring success. The Committee were now looking forward to the Annual Show in the summer, to be held at Burton Pidsea on 26th July.

Mothers are invited to come along with their young children (up to school age) to join

MUMS AND TODDLERS

The Memorial Institute : Wednesdays 1.30-3.30

Further information from Mrs. Karen Monkman, 60 Pilmar Lane, (Patrington 70880)

VANDALISM ON THE PLAYING FIELD

Most people will be sad to learn that wilful damage has been done to property on the Playing Field. Some of the culprits have been seen and recognised. There is no doubt that they belong to families in the village. They are young people - **somebody's** children - who are clearly capable of destroying what the Playing Field Committee hope to provide for the village. They have already started.

The Committee had obtained a wooden building to add to the amenities of the field. It was stacked in sections waiting for weather good enough for its erection. Young people on motor cycles have used some of the sections as ramps. Apart from damage done to the materials themselves, it cannot be acceptable for the field to be used as a scrambling course for motor cycles.

In the process, panes of glass were broken and other deliberate damage was caused. Two girls were seen using pieces of the debris to smash windows in the changing rooms belonging to the Football Club.

Often, parents are the last to hear when their sons and daughters are being troublesome. Sometimes they refuse to accept that any child of theirs could behave badly. Most, however, take such things very seriously and would want to know if a member of their own family was involved.

(continued on back page)

ROOS VILLAGE APPRAISAL

SUMMARY OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE REPORT

(The Questionnaire was completed by 247 persons, representing 144 households - 51.25% of the estimated 281 households in the village. More than 247 persons are represented since many married couples returned only one questionnaire. Where actual numbers of adults are specified below, the figures could be multiplied by three to give a rough estimate of figures for the village as a whole.)

This Summary gives only a brief outline of the responses. See the full Report for further details. For the sake of brevity, figures below are usually given only as percentages: note that these percentages relate to the total of responses to each question being discussed - and not to the overall total of 247 respondents.)

CONSERVATION AND ENVIRONMENT

81% (of 228 respondents) thought that the appearance of Roos could be improved. 166 persons went on to suggest improvements.

In spite of possible vandalism, most wanted more trees and bulbs. Bulbs and flowers should be planted in verges and on corners such as "the Village Green", which should be protected from dogs and children's games. Trees would enhance bare parts of the village, such as Main Street and the road from North End. Verges should be cut more often; those in Main Street should be renewed. People should take more pride in their own houses and gardens. Old sheds and buildings should be renovated. Two people suggested competitions as an incentive: Prettiest Garden; Best-Kept Village.

Litter, especially in Main Street, was condemned. To remedy it was the responsibility of everybody. The fouling of footpaths by dogs (and horses) should be prevented. Parents and teachers should encourage children to respect their environment. Unspillable litter bins should be provided.

Elsewhere, public footpaths should be cleared of autumn leaves, before becoming "a soggy mess" in winter; hedges should be cut back and trees be kept from encroaching. The "superb churchyard" should be preserved. Roos Beck and other watercourses should be kept free of debris. Areas of woodland should not be rubbish tips. Wires and cables should be minimised; as to signposts, 62% said that existing ones said were adequate.

Some felt that "the quality of life" had declined and feared further housing development. Others wanted more social activities and a greater sense of community. Those who suspected that to 'improve' Roos would be to spoil it were in the minority.

SERVICES

Cleansing and Footpaths

98% approved of the dustcart services but only 46% thought that road-sweeping was adequate and 91% said that footpaths were not adequately maintained.

Adequacy of the Highways

73% thought village roads were adequate for current traffic. The rest gave -with examples - many reasons why they were not. The criticisms included: the poor condition of the roads due to inadequate maintenance; hazards from potholes, broken edges and encroaching verges; surface water owing to poor drainage; deterioration caused by heavy vehicles; the increased density of traffic on narrow roads, where parking restricts vision and access; dangers to pedestrians due to damaged or non-existent footpaths; blind corners and dangerous bends.

Possible Speed Restriction

80% wanted a speed restriction. 70 people wanted the whole village included. Some mentioned particular danger-points. Main Street was specified 70 times and Rectory Road 31. Some who wanted no restriction explained that it would probably not be effective.

Village Shops

89% thought the existing three shops adequate. The 11% who wanted other shops specified: Fish and chips (7); Fish shop (6); Bakery (6); Chemists (5); Off-licence (5); Hardware (2); Others: 1 each.

Libraries

114 persons used public libraries (46% of the 247 who completed the questionnaire). The libraries used were: Withernsea 37%; Mobile Library 32%; Hull Central 20%; Hedon 11%.

Street Lighting & Public Telephone

68% were satisfied with the street lighting. The public telephone was used by only 9.35%. It was used "occasionally" by 5.5% and "fairly often" by only 3%.

EDUCATION

Children's Ages

Parents in the sample of 144 households were asked (in Dec./Jan.) to give their children's ages. Not quite all did so: the figures in one or more of the age-groups will be slightly too low.

Age:	-1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
No.:	5	3	5	4	7	7	7	4	6	2	7	5	5	9	6	7

Note that the figures show children who live in the village - and only those in the village. They do not indicate how many children attend any particular school.

School Provision for Children Aged 5-18

93% (159 of 171 responses) thought that provision was adequate. The few who disagreed usually criticised either modern education in general or the lack of local choice.

Children's Safety on Way to School

50% were satisfied with present safety arrangements and 50% not. Suggestions for improvement included: a supervised school crossing; a speed restriction and warning signs for traffic; parking off the road for parents transporting children; better lighting near the school; better footpaths and measures to improve kerby safety.

Adult Education

55% (of 207 responses) would attend day or evening classes at Roos school. Preferred subjects are detailed in the full Report. Interests are roughly indicated by the three groups: Academic/Vocational, 66 persons; Practical/Crafts/Leisure Interests, 97; Social Activities and Games, 25. 195 persons (86%) felt the school should be available for community use after school hours and in the holidays.

SOCIAL AND RECREATIONAL

Facilities Within the Village

67% said that their social and recreational interests were catered for within the village. The other 33% wanted additional activities and facilities - listed in the full Report under: Adult, Under-16, Under-5.

Health Facilities

87% (of 234) said that they were satisfied with the local provision of health facilities. Others were dissatisfied because of: inconvenient and infrequent surgeries; long waits in the surgery because of the lack of an appointments system; problems arising from one-doctor practices, such as difficult communication with the G.P. out of surgery hours; transport problems, especially in relation to hospital treatment.

Of 242 people, 76% had their doctor's surgery in Roos. Other surgeries were in Withernsea (48), Hedon (9), and Patrington (4).

The Playing Field

91% (of 202) wanted to see the Playing Field developed as a central village amenity. 49 wanted a children's play area there and 34 wanted a pavilion that would provide indoor sports facilities and also serve as a community hall and social club. Some thought the field could act as a public park with seating. Some saw the need for trees. Others warned of traffic hazards at the entrance.

The Memorial Institute

Only 119 commented. Of these, 75% wanted the Institute to maintain its traditional role, 13% thought its future in that role was limited and should change, and 12% thought that it should either be closed down and replaced or serve a quite different function. Those who wanted to preserve the Institute expressed themselves strongly and with feeling.

Additional Sports and Recreations

70 people suggested a wide range of additional sports. Most popular were: Tennis (29), Badminton (19), Green Bowling (16), Hockey (10), Cricket (9), and Netball (6). A great many of the other activities suggested would need indoor facilities. (See the full Report).

Membership of Local Groups

Involvement in one or more of 21 groups or activities was indicated by 107 people - i.e. by only 43% of those who completed questionnaires. The most frequent were: Churches (24), Darby & Joan (17), Women's Institute (17), the school (17), and the Rugby Club (16).

TRANSPORT

Private and Public Transport

There was a high level of private car ownership but precise figures were hard to determine. Dependence on private transport was often mentioned, along with the infrequency and inconvenience of bus times. Of 206 people, 61% thought the local bus service inadequate. When asked how frequently they actually used public transport, 227 people replied as follows: daily 5%; weekly 12%; monthly 4%; seldom or never 79%, 31 people mentioned specific transport difficulties.

EMPLOYMENT

Need for Jobs in Roos

Of 174 people, a majority - 57.5% - thought that there was no need for more jobs in Roos. Of those who thought more jobs were needed, only a few made specific suggestions. Jobs in farming, horticulture, country crafts, service industries and community aid were mentioned.

Travel to Work/Location of Employment

Of 177 who commented, only 8 said that they had difficulty in getting to work. The other 96% said they had no transport problems. Of the 114 who gave details of their place of employment, only 15% worked in Roos itself. 76% worked in Hull, Beverley or the Holderness area and 9% in more distant places. Of the 97 who worked outside Roos, only 2 used local buses: over 90% of them used their own transport. (More details in the full Report).

Nature of Employment

107 persons gave details of their employment. (See the full Report). Some indicated the kind of industry they worked in (e.g. Engineering, Agriculture); others indicated their actual job (e.g. Cook, Pipe Fitter). These two ways of responding made analysis difficult but the following picture emerged.

Agriculture	15	Industry and Commerce	20
Public Services	28	Service Industries	19
Transport	7	Supervisory/Managerial	5
Secretarial/Clerical	8	Others	5

HOUSING

Types of Housing

Owner-occupied	164 (80.40%)	Private rented	11 (5.4%)
Council	28 (13.7%)	Provided by employer	1 (0.5%)

Reasons for Living in Roos

208 people gave reasons. Some gave more than one. There were 37 circumstantial reasons (e.g. "Born here...Parents live here...Moved here after marriage"). There were 218 reasons that were a matter of positive choice. They fall into the following rough categories:

Cheap Housing	4 (2%)	Employment	48 (22%)
Near Family/Friends	14 (6%)	Access: Town/Country	5 (2%)
Amenities	7 (3%)	Rural/Village Life	36 (17%)
Friendly People	18 (8%)	Roos Peaceful/Unspoilt	86 (40%)

Length of Residence in Roos

229 people gave information. See full Report for more details.

Less than 1 year	11 (5%)	10 years or less	117 (51%)
1 year or less	21 (9%)	20 years or less	184 (80%)
2 years or less	43 (19%)	30 years or less	202 (88%)
3 years or less	48 (21%)	40 years or less	207 (90%)
4 years or less	56 (25%)	50 years or less	215 (94%)
5 years or less	67 (29%)	More than 50 years	13 (6%)

The Growth of the Village

Of 216 people, 34% were in favour of further growth and 66% were against it. At the end of the questionnaire, 20 people gave reasons for opposing substantial growth: mainly, that it would spoil the village. Most accepted gradual expansion and sensible in-filling but feared the development of large housing estates.

Vandalism on the Playing Field cont.

What one Committee Member has reported is hard to credit. When told of the incidents described above, the mother of one of the known culprits was annoyed - not at the behaviour and not at the allegation that one of her own family had been involved. She was indignant that anyone should criticise youngsters for doing exactly as they liked on the Playing Field. It appeared to be her view that the field was a public place and no one had any right to object if wilful damage was done.

We should all object. In a small village such as Roos, it is more obvious than in many places that we are members one of another. The community itself is harmed, both materially and morally, if we tolerate senseless vandalism.

The Mermaid Tavern, Sand-le-Mere

Roos Arms A.R.L.F.C. presents

Thursday, 29th May : **A Night for the Ladies**
FAGIN and KANDY LEE BARRY plus DISCO
7.30 Admission by ticket only : £2.00 from Roos Arms

Friday, 30th May

DISCO at THE MERMAID

Children 50p: 7.00-10.00 Adults £1.00: From 10.00
Food available Late Bar

ROOS VILLAGE PLAN

In response to a telephone enquiry in late April, an officer of the Planning Department of Holderness Borough Council gave information about Roos Village Plan. He said that the public part of the process would be initiated by an exhibition and meeting in Roos during June. Dates were not at the time confirmed but the provisional assumption was that the exhibition would be staged in the Memorial Institute on Friday, 13th June and Monday, 16th June and that the public meeting would be held on the evening of Monday, 16th June.

ROOS W.I.

At the open meeting in April an illustrated talk on Ladies' Costume in the 19th Century was given by the Keeper of Human History of Perth Museum. It was not only the interest of the subject itself that attracted the audience. The speaker, Mrs. Susan Taylor (nee Payne) is the daughter of Margaret and Barry Payne and was brought up in Roos.

There were gasps from the audience as slides projected on the screen showed the whalebone and padding and horse-hair stiffening that women used to suffer in order to attain the fashionable shape. Costume in the late 20th Century affords much more ease and freedom of movement.

Choice of housing

Of 239 people, 86% thought that there was sufficient choice of types of housing in Roos. Later, two persons argued that young people were not catered for: houses to purchase were too expensive for them; there should be more council houses or private accommodation to rent.

THE PARISH COUNCIL

Public Attendance at Meetings

Of 225 people, 22% said that they attended Parish Council Meetings. 27 said that they attended "occasionally" and 2 "fairly often". Only 24 people specified how many times they had attended in the year. Totals for these responses were: once 6; twice 10; three times 2; four times 4; six times 1; nine times 1.

Reflection of Village Opinion

Of 190 people, 49% said that Parish Council decisions reflected village opinion and 51% said that they didn't. 73 people explained ways in which they thought the Parish Council could reflect village opinion better.

Methods suggested were: better publicity with detailed agendas in advance; more frequent Parish Meetings and more opportunity for public participation at ordinary meetings; more sounding of village opinion by Councillors, e.g. by personal contact, questionnaires and referenda on major issues; site visits by parish Councillors before planning applications were discussed; nomination at election time of persons more representative of the village as a whole.

AGE-GROUPS IN ROOS

Heads of household were asked to give details, including ages, of the occupants of each house. Some did not respond. Some responded but omitted details. The full Report explains how the difficulties were handled and gives a table of the results. The general conclusion is that Roos has a fairly even spread as far as ages are concerned.

FURTHER REMARKS

49 people responded to the invitation to add further comments of their own choice. Some expanded on issues raised earlier. Some criticised the Appraisal Group generally and the Questionnaire in particular. Several were quite individual and cannot be summarised here. See the full Report.

Mrs. Dorothy Maltas proposed the vote of thanks and Susan then judged the competition for 'My Favourite Fashion Accessory'. It was won by Mary Winter who therefore holds the Marjorie Maltas Memorial Trophy.

Twelve lucky members were recently able to visit Trinity House in Hull. The many interesting and beautiful things to see and the absence of traffic noise provided a feast for the senses. The fact that the guides for the tour were called Captain Cook and Captain Morgan added to the feeling of being back in a different age.

To raise funds to help renovate the Memorial Institute, a coffee morning was held at The Elms, the delightful home of Mr. and Mrs. Peter Smith who generously allowed us to use it. Blessed with the first sunshine of the week, people were able to meet and greet over a cup of coffee while enjoying the lovely garden views from the windows. Our delighted President thanked Mr. and Mrs. Smith and then announced that the sum of £95.00 had been raised.

Roos W.I. have many interesting events yet to come and a warm welcome awaits new members.

C.F.

COPY DEADLINE

Material for the June issue of 'The Rooster' should be submitted - **by Monday, 19th May, please.**
Contact: Leslie Helliwell, Lamb Lane. (Phone: 70291).

THE VILLAGE APPRAISAL

The Report of the Questionnaire circulated in the village during December and January has now been completed. A Summary of the full Report appears above.

It is intended to hold a meeting in the Memorial Institute on Monday, 19th May to answer questions on the Report and to discuss any of the issues raised. The meeting will be attended by Charlotte Hursey, Rural Officer of the Community Council of Humberside, who will present a cheque for an amount offered by the Council to help defray the costs of the Village Appraisal. Her experience of rural villages and the ways in which they can preserve and enhance their amenities is likely to be of great assistance during discussion of the Report.

Specimen copies of the Report will be available in the village in advance of the meeting. It is assumed that, with the permission of the proprietors, the post office and local shops will each hold a copy. It will be possible to provide copies for individuals but, owing to the cost of reproduction, they will need to be paid for (at a price not yet decided).

ROOS VILLAGE APPRAISAL PUBLIC MEETING

Monday, 19th May Memorial Institute 8.00 p.m.

Discussion of the Questionnaire Report and any further action thought desirable. Guest: Charlotte Hursey, Rural Officer of the Community Council of Humberside.